Trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias or migraine?

Anna Sundholm MD, PhD ME Neurologi





AJO-DK-NP-00083

Disclosures

Receives financial compensation through Karolinska for

 presentations in courses and seminars sponsored by pharmaceutical industry and advisory board (Abbvie/Allergan, Lilly, Lundbeck, Novartis, Pfizer, Teva)

Financial compensation for work done for Internetmedicin

PI for clinical trials for Lundbeck

Unfinanced work as board member of the Swedish Headache Society



Diagnostics migraine vs TAC's

1.1 Migraine without aura

A. At least five attacks fulfilling criteria B-D

B. Headache attacks lasting 4-72 hours (when untreated

or unsuccessfully treated)

C. Headache has at least two of the following four

characteristics:

1. unilateral location

2. pulsating quality

- 3. moderate or severe pain intensity
- 4. aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine
- physical activity (eg, walking or climbing stairs)
- D. During headache at least one of the following:
 - 1. nausea and/or vomiting
 - 2. photophobia and phonophobia
- E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis.

3.1 Cluster headache

A. At least five attacks fulfilling criteria B-D

B. Severe or very severe unilateral orbital, supraorbital and/or temporal pain lasting 15-180 minutes (when untreated)

- C. Either or both of the following:
 - 1. at least one of the following symptoms or signs,
 - ipsilateral to the headache:
 - a) conjunctival injection and/or lacrimation
 - b) nasal congestion and/or rhinorrhoea
 - c) eyelid oedema
 - d) forehead and facial sweating
 - e) miosis and/or ptosis
 - 2. a sense of restlessness or agitation
- D. Occurring with a frequency between one every other day and 8 per day

E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis.



CAS = cranial autonomic symptoms

- Lacrimation
- Conjunctival injection
- Rhinorrhea
- Nasal congestion
- Forehead and facial sweating
- Ptosis
- Miosis
- Eyelid edema





Frequency of cranial autonomic symptoms in migraine review of the literature



166.



Danish population-based sample (N= 62,677) using both questionnairebased diagnosis (N = 12,620) and interview-based diagnosis validation (N = 302)

	Prevalence of CAS during m attacks in the DaMP cohort	Prevalence of CAS during cluster headache attacks in DHC ²⁴		
Cranial autonomic symptoms	Diagnostic questionnaire (N = 12,620) n (%)	Semi-structured validation interview (N = 302) n (%)	Questionnaire (N = 57) n (%)	
Facial/forehead sweat ^a	4,909 (39)	59 (20)	94 (19)	
Lacrimation	3,031 (24)	40 (13)	272 (54)	
Ptosis	1,747 (14)	31 (10)	224 (45)	
Conjunctival injection	1,615 (13)	50 (17)	241 (48)	
Rhinorrhea	1,439 (11)	16 (5)	183 (37)	
Nasal congestion	1,362 (11)	23 (8)	200 (40)	
Miosis	752 (6)	19 (6)	51 (10)	
Number of symptoms				
≥I CAS	7,179 (57)	132 (44)	408 (82)	
≥2 CAS	3,968 (31)	66 (22)	-	
\geq 3 CAS	2,153 (17)	30 (10)		
≥4 CAS	1,018 (8)	6 (2)		
\geq 5 CAS	396 (3)	3 (1)		
≥6 CAS	118 (1)	1 (0.3)		
= 7 CAS	23 (0.2)	0 (0)		

CAS: cranial autonomic symptoms; DaMP: Danish Migraine Population cohort; DHC: Danish Headache Center.

^aIn the DaMP cohort the participants answered yes to having either or both facial and forehead sweat. In the DHC cluster headache cohort the prevalence refers to participants who answered yes to having both facial and forehead sweat.

6 Christensen CG, et al. Population-based prevalence of cranial autonomic symptoms in migraine and proposed diagnostic appendix criteria. Cephalalgia. 2022 Oct;42(11-12):1160-1171



Study in Essen Germany on cluster patients evaluating clinical features in cluster attacks.

209 consecutive cluster headache patients (144 eCH, 65 cCH) male : female ration 3.4:1



Gaul C, et al. Differences in clinical characteristics and frequency of accompanying migraine features in episodic and chronic cluster headache. Cephalalgia. 2012 May;32(7):571-7





Diener HC et al. Management of Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalalgias Including Chronic Cluster: A Review. JAMA Neurol. 2023.

Mark J. Burish. Cluster Headache and Other Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalalgias. Continuum 2018



Sex difference and bout length in cluster headache

Table 2 Demographic and Clinical Characterization of Swedish Patients With Cluster Headache							
	All	Male	Female	<i>p</i> Value			
Number of individuals (% of all)	874	575 (65.8)	299 (34.2)	_			
Interview age (y)	50.5 ± 14.3 (17-83)	51.3 ± 13.9 (17-83)	49.0 ± 15.0 (17-83)	0.028 ^c			
Attack duration (min)				0.10			
15–30	18.4	17.5	20.2				
30-120	50.9	53.7	45.7				
120-180	17.7	17.1	18.7				
>180	13.0	11.6	15.4				
Bout length (mo)				0.003 ^d			
0-1	28.5	29.8	26.0				
1-2	30.7	31.9	28.3				
2-4	21.1	22.5	18.3				
4-7	6.2	5.2	8.0				
7-12	4.9	4.2	6.3				
>12	8.7	6.4	13.0				
Pain intensity* ^a	9.26 ± 0.98 (5-10)	9.24 ± 1.01 (5-10)	9.30 ± 0.95 (6.5–10)	0.62			
Associated symptoms							
Conjunctival injection	58.1	59.8	54.8	0.17			
Lacrimation	74.7	75.0	74.2	0.87			
Ptosis	51.6	47.0	60.5	0.0002 ^e			
Nasal congestion	50.8	49.6	53.2	0.32			
Rhinorrhea	47.3	45.7	50.2	0.23			
Restlessness	48.4	45.6	53.8	0.022 ^c			
Nausea* ^a	22.8	20.1	27.1	0.16			

Fourier C, Ran C, Steinberg A, Sjöstrand C, Waldenlind E, Belin AC. Sex differences in clinical features, treatment, and lifestyle factors in patients with cluster headache. *Neurology*. 2023;100(12):e1207-e1220.



9

Why could CAS+ be of importance in migraine?

- Differential diagnosis consideration?
- More severe form?
- Treatment response?



Treatment response to triptans - better in those with CAS+?

Evaluating 10 responders and 10 non responders to rizatriptan (previously treatment naive patients)

	Before rizatriptan administration		1 h		2 h	
	Responders n	Non-responders n	Responders n	Non-responders n	Responders n	Non-responders n
Associated symptom	ns					
Nausea	8	7	3	7	1	8
Vomiting	2	3	1	3	0	2
Photophobia	9	7	4	8	2	8
Phonophobia	8	6	3	6	2	6
Autonomic signs or	symptoms					
Lacrimation	3	0	1	0	0	0
Conjunctival	5	0	3	0	1	0
injection						
Eyelid oedema	3	0	2	0	1	0
Nasal obstruction	2	0	2	0	0	0

Sarchielli P, et al. Clinical-biochemical correlates of migraine attacks in rizatriptan responders and non-responders. Cephalalgia. 2006 Mar;26(3):257-65

Associated features in responders to frovatriptan

• 29 patients enrolled. 30% pain free after 2 hours (responders)

Associated to good response to treatment:

- unilateral pain
- presence of phonophobia
- presence of one or more cranial autonomic symptoms
- presence of one or more premonitory symptom

Associated to not as good response to treatment:

- severe pain
- nausea
- vomiting



Other features observed in the literature

- Anecdotal reports of
 - oxygen response in CAS+ severe migraine attacks
 - CAS+ has been proposed a possible positive predictor of positive treatment response with Onabotulinum toxin A treatment in CM
- CAS+ seams more common in CM compared to EM
 - Increased frequency play a role?
- Studies tend to suggest that CAS+ migraineurs have more severe attacks, more frequent and perhaps longer?

Proposed diagnostic appendix criteria for Migraine with CAS

Proposed criteria for migraine with CAS+ for use in in genetic and epidemiological studies

A. Attacks fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for:

1.1 Migraine without aura and/or

1.2 Migraine with aura

B. Data obtained by questionnaire

C. At least two of the following fully reversible autonomic symptoms have been present during migraine attack:

1. Conjunctival injection

2. Lacrimation

3. Nasal congestion

4. Rhinorrhea

5. Forehead or facial sweating

6. Miosis

7. Ptosis

8. Eyelid oedema

D. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis

Proposed criteria for migraine with CAS+ for use in clinical and pathophysiological studies

A. Attacks fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for:

1.1 Migraine without aura and/or

1.2 Migraine with aura

B. During at least one third of the attacks, symptoms according to criterion C have been present. Data must be obtained by semi-structured interview

C. At least two of the following fully reversible autonomic symptoms:

- 1. Conjunctival injection
- 2. Lacrimation
- 3. Nasal congestion
- 4. Rhinorrhea
- 5. Forehead or facial sweating

6. Miosis

7. Ptosis

8. Eyelid oedema

D. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis



